Differentially Private Range Query on Shortest Paths

Chengyuan Deng Rutgers University

Joint work with Jie Gao, Jalaj Upadhyay, Chen Wang

Range Query

- $f(X[i,j]) = f(x_i, \dots, x_j)$
- Geometric range query: (i, j) is the range of a geometric shape

$$x_1 = 1$$
 $x_3 = 5$
 $x_2 = 3$ $x_4 = 7$

Example: line

- Query function $f = \Sigma$
- $q_f(X,2,3) = x_2 + x_3 = 8$

• Given a set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and a query function, range query $q_f(X, i, j)$ returns



Example: Square

- Query function $f = \max$
- $q_f(X, S) = \max(x_2, x_3, x_4) = 3$

Range Query on Graphs

Range Query has been widely studied with geometric ranges

What if the range is non-geometric?

Motivating scenario



• Note: Throughout, we have notation |V| = n, |E| = m

Example: graph

- G = (V, E, X) where X is the set of edge attributes
- Query function $f = \Sigma$ lacksquare
- $q_f(X, v_1, v_3) = x_1 + x_2 = 3$

Range Query on Graphs

Range Query has been widely studied with geometric ranges

What if the range is non-geometric?

Motivating scenario



Example: shortest paths

- For $q_f(X, v_i, v_j)$, take the edges along the shortest path between (v_i, v_j)
- Query function $f = \Sigma$

•
$$q_f(X, v_1, v_4) = x_6 + x_7 = 5$$

If the edge attribute is the edge weight, then $q_f(X, v_i, v_j)$ returns the shortest distance between (v_i, v_j)



All Sets Range Query on Shortest Paths

- returns $q_f(X, v_i, v_j)$ for all pairs of $(v_i, v_j) \in V \times V$

Application

- Financial security in trading networks: combat fraud
- Analysis in supply chain networks: end-to-end resilience, etc.

Our goal: Protect the sensitive information in networks via differential privacy, with the smallest possible error.

• Given G = (V, E, X) and a query function f, the All Sets Range Query (ASRQ) on G

• If $X(v_i, v_j) = d(v_i, v_j)$, then ASRQ is equivalent to All pairs shortest distances (APSD)







Differential Privacy

instance, the adversary cannot distinguish the outcome.



Compromise: some error in reported answers

Next: define neighboring graphs for our setting

Key idea: Protect the data such that for neighboring datasets differed by only one

- Three notions of neighboring graphs have been proposed:
 - Node-level privacy





- Three notions of neighboring graphs have been proposed:
 - Node-level privacy
 - Edge-level privacy





- Three notions of neighboring graphs have been proposed:
 - Node-level privacy
 - Edge-level privacy
 - Weight-level privacy [Sealfon '16]





- We choose weight-level privacy on attributes as our privacy model
 - The edge attribute is the sensitive information we want to protect
 - The weight-level privacy is proposed to study the DP-APSD problem
- Definition: let $w, w' : X \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ be functions that map each element in X to a nonnegative real number, we say w, w' are neighboring if $\sum |w(x) - w'(x)| \leq 1$.





Formalize the Problem – DP-ASRQ

- We consider two query functions:
 - Counting query: $f = \Sigma$
 - Bottleneck query: $f = \max / \min$
- Differentially Private All Sets Range Query

 $\Pr[\mathscr{A}(R,f,w) \in C] \leq e^{\varepsilon}$

Goal: minimize the additive error

min max $| \mathscr{A}(f(u, v) - f(u, v) |$ $u,v \in V$

Let (R(X, S), f) be a range query system and w, w' be any neighboring attribute functions. An algorithm \mathscr{A} is (ε, δ) -DP if for all sets of possible outputs C, we have:

$$\delta \cdot \Pr[\mathscr{A}(R, f, w') \in C] + \delta.$$

 $\delta = 0 \longrightarrow \varepsilon \cdot \mathsf{DP}$

Our results



• Counting queries are harder to privatize

DP-ASRQ VS DP-APSD

	Graph	Privacy	Upper bound	Lower bound
DP-ASRQ (Counting query)	(Un)Weighted	Attribute	$ ilde{O}_{\varepsilon}(n^{1/3}) ilde{O}_{\varepsilon,\delta}(n^{1/4})$	$ ilde{\Omega}_{arepsilon,\delta}(n^{1/6})$
DP-APSD	Weighted	Edge weight (Stronger)	$ ilde{O}_{\varepsilon}(n^{2/3}) ilde{O}_{\varepsilon}(n^{1/2})$	$ ilde{\Omega}_{arepsilon,\delta}(n^{1/6})$

- Two problems share the same lower bound
- DP-APSD is a strictly harder problem than DP-ASRQ

Standard Notions and Tools

- Differential Privacy
 - Sensitivity
 - Laplace Mechanism
 - Gaussian Mechanism
 - Basic and Strong Composition Theorem
- Probability Theory
 - Sum of Laplace and Gaussian random variables
 - Concentration of Laplace and Gaussian random variables

ε -DP Algorithm for Counting Query

Two simple solutions:

- Input perturbation.

 - Add Laplace noise of $Lap(1/\varepsilon)$ to each attribute and return the counting. If the path is long, then the error can be large.
- Output perturbation.
 - Compute the counting first, and add noise of $Lap(n^2/\epsilon)$ to the query output.
 - The sensitivity is n^2
- Both solutions lead to additive error of O(n)

Can we balance two regimes to reduce the error?

E-DP Algorithm for Counting Query

Key idea: Carefully combine Input and Output perturbation

- Sample a set of shortcut vertices S, |S| = s
 - If the path is long, there will be vertices in S
- Decompose the path into paths in S and paths outside S

•
$$f(u, v) = f(u, x) + f(x, z) + f(z, v)$$

Towards our goal

- For input perturbation, shortcut set reduce the length of paths
- For output perturbation, we need to reduce the sensitivity



• Apply Input perturbation on f(u, x) and f(z, v), output perturbation on f(x, z)

E-DP Algorithm for Counting Query

Reduce the sensitivity of S: Canonical segments

Canonical segments are sub-paths that no other shortest paths pass through \bullet



- Properties of canonical segments
 - They are disjoint
 - Each canonical segment has sensitivity of 1
 - For shortcut set S, there are at most s^2 canonical segments, call the set Canon(S)

Consider Path(u, v) where $u, v \in S$, the canonical segments are: $(u, w_1), (w_1, w_2), (w_2, w_3), (w_3, w_4), (w_4, v)$



\mathcal{E} -DP Algorithm for Counting Query

Algorithm

- Sample shortcut set S, compute Canon(S).
- Add Independent Lap $(2/\varepsilon)$ to all edge attributes.
- Add Independent Lap $(2/\varepsilon)$ to each canonical segment attribute
- Report the counting query for $u, v \in$
 - If Path(u, v) does not have a vertex in *S*, return $\hat{f}(u, v)$
 - If Path(u, v) has one vertex z in S, return $\hat{f}(u, z) + \hat{f}(z, v)$
 - Else, take the first and last vertex x, z in S, return $\hat{f}(u, v) = \hat{f}(u, x) + \hat{f}(x, z) + \hat{f}(z, v)$



$$V$$
:

ε -DP Algorithm for Counting Query

Theorem 1

There exists an ε -differentially private algorithm for DP-ARSQ with additive error at most $\tilde{O}(n^{1/3}/\epsilon)$ with high probability. That is, the algorithm outputs \hat{f} such that

$$\Pr\left(\max_{u,v\in V} |\hat{f}(u,v) - f(u,v)| = O(\frac{n^{1/3}\log^{5/6} n}{\varepsilon})\right) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

- Analysis sketch
 - Input perturbation (Step 2) has at most O(n/s) additive error
 - Output perturbation (Step 3) has at most $\tilde{O}(s^2)$ additive error
 - Total error: $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n/s} + s^2) s = n^{1/3}$ balances two terms



ε, δ -DP Algorithm for Counting Query

Key idea: Strong composition on single-source shortest path tree

Result (ε, δ -DP algorithm tree graphs) most $O(\log^{1.5} n_{\sqrt{\log 1/\delta}}/\epsilon)$ with high probability.

- Sample a set of shortcut vertices S
- Build single-source shortest path tree rooted at each vertex in S
- Privatize each tree and use strong composition.

- (When the graph is a tree, the problem is a lot easier!)

There exists an (ε, δ) -differentially private algorithm for tree graphs with additive error at

An analog of output perturbation



ε, δ -DP Algorithm for Counting Query

Algorithm

- Sample shortcut set *S*, compute T(v) for $v \in S$
- Run PrivateTree algorithm for each T(v)
- Apply strong composition on all private trees
- Add Gaussian noise of Gauss $(0,4/\epsilon^2 \ln(2.5/\delta)\log n)$ to all edge attributes • Report the counting query for $u, v \in V$:
 - If one of $u, v \in S$, return $\hat{f}_T(u, v)$
 - If $u, v \notin S$ but Path(u, v) has one vertex z in S, return $\hat{f}_T(u, z) + \hat{f}_T(z, v)$
 - Else, return $\hat{f}(u, v)$

ε, δ -DP Algorithm for Counting Query

Theorem 2

 $\tilde{O}(n^{1/4} \cdot \log^{1/2} 1/\delta/\epsilon)$ with high probability. That is, the algorithm outputs \hat{f} such that

$$\Pr\left(\max_{u,v\in V} |\hat{f}(u,v) - f(u,v)| = O(\frac{n^{1/4}\log^{1.25} n\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)}}{\varepsilon})\right) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

- Analysis sketch
 - Input perturbation (Step 4) has at most $\tilde{O}(s)$ additive error • Private tree outputs (Step 3) have at most $\tilde{O}(n/s)$ additive error

 - Total error: $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n/s + s}) s = n^{1/2}$ balances two terms

There exists an (ε, δ) -differentially private algorithm for DP-ARSQ with additive error at most



Private Algorithms for Bottleneck Query

Apply input perturbation suffices!

- For ε -DP, use Laplace mechanism
- For ε , δ -DP, use Gaussian mechanism

Result 4 (Private algorithms for bottleneck query) There exists an ε -differentially private algorithm for DP-ARSQ with additive error at most $\tilde{O}(\log n/\epsilon)$ with high probability; For (ϵ, δ) -DP the additive error is $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{\log n} \log(1/\delta)/\epsilon)$



Open Problems

- Close the gap for both DP-APSD and DP-ASRQ
 - DP-APSD: $n^{1/6} \sim n^{1/2}$
 - DP-ASRQ: $n^{1/4} \sim n^{1/2}$
- Single-pair shortest distance and One-set range query

Thank you!